## Turbulent intensity effect on low Reynolds number airfoil wake

Wang  $S^1$ , Zhou  $Y^{1,2}$ , Alam M  $M^2$ , Yang H  $X^3$ 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, the Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong <sup>2</sup>Shenzhen Graduate School, Harbin Institute of Technology, Shenzhen, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Building Services Engineering, the Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The flow around an airfoil at a chord Reynolds number  $Re<sub>c</sub> < 10<sup>5</sup>$  is of interest in connection with a variety of engineering applications including the design of micro air vehicles and wind turbines. Hence, it is not surprising that the airfoil flow at small  $Re<sub>c</sub>$  has attracted a surge attention of researchers. Previous investigations found that at  $Re<sub>c</sub> > 10<sup>4</sup>$  the separated shear layer reattaches on the airfoil surface, which enhances the airfoil lift. In contrast, at  $Re<sub>c</sub> < 10<sup>4</sup>$  the separated shear layer does not reattach to the airfoil surface, adversely affecting the lift. Investigations on the aerodynamics of airfoils at  $Re_c < 10^4$  are very few. There is also a lack of data in the literature on how the turbulence level  $(T_u)$  of the oncoming flow affects the aerodynamics of the airfoil particularly at  $Re<sub>c</sub> < 10<sup>4</sup>$ . The objective of this work was (i) to investigate the effect of  $T_u$  on the force and the wake of a NACA0012 airfoil at  $Re_c = 5.3 \times 10^3$  and  $2 \times 10^4$  and (ii) to classify  $Re_c$ -dependent flow structures based on maximum lift  $(C_{L,\text{max}})$  and on inherent features of the shear/boundary layer.

#### EXPERIMENTS

Experiments were performed in a closed-loop water tunnel, with a test section of 0.3 m (width)  $\times$  0.6 m (height)  $\times$  2.4 m (length). The water speed in the test section ranges from 0.05 m/s to 4 m/s. A NACA0012 airfoil, with a span length of  $s = 0.27$  m and a chord length of  $c = 0.1$  m, was used as the test model and mounted horizontally in the test section. A grid placed at the upstream side of the airfoil model was used to generate turbulence in the free-stream. A variation in  $T_u$  from 0.6% to 6.0% was achieved by changing the distance between the grid and airfoil. While the lift and drag coefficients  $(C_D$  and  $C_L$ ) of the airfoil were measured using a load cell, the flow field was estimated using particle image velocimetry techniques. Furthermore, a LIF flow visualization system was used to visualize the airfoil wake and shear layer behaviors.  $C_{L,\text{max}}$  data from the literature are collected to classify  $Re_c$ -dependent flow structures.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Four Re<sub>c</sub> regimes are identified for the first time, based on the characteristics of  $C_{L,\text{max}}$  dependence on  $Re_c$ , i.e., ultra-low (< 10<sup>4</sup>), low (10<sup>4</sup> ~  $3 \times 10^5$ ), moderate  $(3 \times 10^5 \sim 5 \times 10^6)$  and high  $(> 5 \times 10^6)$ , see Fig. 1. Each of them involves different flow patterns and stall types which are mostly connected to the shear/boundary layer behaviors. While the shear layer is laminar in the ultra-low  $Re<sub>c</sub>$  regime, shear layer transition followed by a reattachment occurs in both low and moderate  $Re_c$  regimes. The low  $Re_c$ regime corresponds to a relatively longer separation bubble than the moderate  $Re<sub>c</sub>$  regime. The boundary layer transits in the high  $Re<sub>c</sub>$  regime and no reattachment occurs. Attention is given largely to the first two regimes in this work.



Fig. 1 Dependence of  $C_{Lmax}$  on  $Re_c$  of NACA 0012 airfoils. (+Sunada et al. (2002); ■ Chen and Choa (1999);  $\times$  Akbari and Price (2003);  $\blacklozenge$  Lee and Gerontakos (2004);  $\blacktriangle$  Wong and Kontis (2007);  $\Diamond$  Schlüter (2009);  $\Box$  Cleaver *et al.* (2011);  $\Diamond$  Grager *et* al. (2011);  $\triangle$  Sant and Ayuso (2013))

Fig. 2 illustrates that at  $Re<sub>c</sub>$  $=5.3\times10^3$  (ultra-low regime) the airfoil stall is absent for  $T_u = 0.6\%$  but occurs for  $T_u = 2.6\%$  and 6.0%, resulting in a marked difference in  $C_L$  and a considerably improved lift-to-drag  $(C_l/C_p)$  ratio. The maximum  $C_l$  can be increased by 52%, while the maximum  $C_l/C_D$  can be improved by 45%.

LIF flow visualization photographs shown in Fig. 3 reveal that the increased  $T_u$  results in a postponement of the boundary layer separation (Figs. 3a, d), and occurrence of transition in the shear layer (Figs. 3b, e). The latter brings a successful reattachment of shear layer, responsible for the improved the lift.

# CONCLUSIONS

The work leads to the following conclusions:

- (1) Four  $Re<sub>c</sub>$  regimes are identified on the basis of  $C_{L,\text{max}}$  and Boundary/shear layer characteristics, i.e., ultra-low, low, moderate and high  $Re<sub>c</sub>$  regimes.
- (2) A remarkable influence of  $T_u$  is observed at  $Re_c = 5.3 \times 10^3$ (ultra-low  $Re<sub>c</sub>$  regime), increasing  $C_L$  and  $C_L/C_D$  by 52% and 45%, respectively. Stall does not occur at  $T_u = 0.6\%$  but does at  $T_u = 2.6\%$ and 6.0%.
- (3) The critical Reynolds number  $Re<sub>c</sub>$ <sub>cr</sub> that divides the ultra-low and low  $Re_c$  regimes decreases from  $1 \times 10^4$ to less than  $5.3 \times 10^3$  as  $T_u$  increases from 0.6% to 6.0%.



Fig. 2. Dependence on  $\alpha$  of  $C_L$  (a),  $C_L/C_D$  (b) at different  $T_u$ .  $Re_c =$  $5.3 \times 10^3$ . Where  $\alpha$  is the angle of attack



Fig. 3 Typical photographs captured in LIF flow visualization for various α.

(4) While increasing  $T_u$  delays the separation of the boundary layer due to the enhanced mixing ability, increasing  $Re<sub>c</sub>$  shows an opposite effect, promoting the separation.

Keywords: low Reynolds number, turbulent intensity, airfoil wake, lift force, stall